ORIGINAL

Umami taste sensitivity is associated with food intake and oral environment in subjects with diabetes

Ayuka Kawakami[1], Mika Bando[2], Tomoe Takashi[1], Mizuki Sugiuchi[1], Mizusa Hyodo[1], Yuna Mishima[1], Masashi Kuroda[1], Hiroyasu Mori[3], Akio Kuroda[3], Hiromichi Yumoto[2], Munehide Matsuhisa[3], Hiroshi Sakaue[1], and Rie Tsutsumi[1]

[1]Department of Nutrition and Metabolism, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima University Graduate School, Tokushima, Japan, [2]Department of Periodontology and Endodontology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima University Graduate School, Tokushima, Japan, [3]Diabetes Therapeutics and Research Center, Institute of Advanced Medical Sciences, Tokushima University, Tokushima, Japan

Abstract : Objective : Dysgeusia is a serious problem in patients with diabetes because it often leads to overeating, which is associated with disease progression. This study aimed to investigate the association between taste sensitivity, eating habits, and the oral environment. Subjects and methods : In this cross-sectional study of 75 subjects with diabetes, gustatory function was assessed using the whole-mouth method, and lingual taste receptor gene expression was measured by real-time PCR. Food intake was evaluated using a food frequency questionnaire based on food groups. The oral environment was assessed using xerostomia and periodontal comprehensive examination. Results : In total, 45.3%, 28.0%, and 18.7% of subjects showed lower umami taste sensitivity, low sweet taste sensitivity, and low salt taste sensitivity, respectively. Lower umami sensitivity correlated with lower estimated glomerular filtration rate and higher energy-source food intake. Subjects with diabetes with higher plaque control record showed significantly higher T1R3 gene expression than those with lower plaque control record. Conclusion : Reduced umami taste sensitivity is associated with decreased renal function and high energy food intake in diabetes. Subjects with diabetes with higher plaque control record showed significantly higher T1R3 gene expression, suggesting that the oral environment affects taste gene expression. J. Med. Invest. 70 : 241-250, February, 2023

INTRODUCTION

Dysgeusia is a serious problem that can lead to malnutrition and decreased quality of life (QOL). According to the 2019 clinical survey on subjects with taste disorders in Japan, the prevalence of taste disorders was twice as high as that in the 1990 survey (1). However, to date, there is no established supportive care method due to the complexity of the cause and lack of evidence.

Dysgeusia is caused by several factors such as zinc deficiency (2), drug use (3), oral dryness (4), metabolic disorders including diabetes (5, 6), chronic kidney disease (CKD) (7), hypertension (8), psychogenic disorders (9), chemotherapy (10), aging (11), and coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection (12). Usually, dysgeusia decreases appetite ; however, in subjects with diabetes, it frequently leads to overeating and changes in eating behavior, accelerating the progression of diabetic pathology. Notably, these taste disorders that induce overeating in subjects during unconsciousness, without interventions such as nutritional counseling, can exacerbate obesity and poor glycemic control, even while under drug treatment.

Subjects with diabetes have also been reported to have oral cavity diseases such as dental caries, tongue coating, xerostomia, periodontal disease, and candida infection (13), and the progression of periodontitis is known to exacerbate glycemic control (14, 15). Aemaimanan *et al.* reported that increased levels of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, a periodontal pathogen, in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus were positively correlated with hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels (16). However, the oral environment has not been given much emphasis as a risk factor for dysgeusia in subjects with diabetes, except for oral dryness, and there are few reports on the association between periodontal disease and dysgeusia.

We previously reported that lingual taste receptor gene expression decreases during chemotherapy in patients with head and neck cancer (10). Lingual taste receptors are stimulated by the taste of substances such as monosodium glutamate (MSG), thereby increasing taste receptor gene expression (17, 18). However, we recently reported that the taste receptors of subjects with diabetes are expressed differently from those of the general population, suggesting overexpression due to overeating in the patients (19).

Umami is one of the 5 basic taste and several studies have reported low umami taste sensitivity in individuals with obesity (20, 21). These reports led us to hypothesize that : 1) low taste sensitivity may lead to overeating, which in turn leads to poor glycemic control, and 2) exacerbation of the oral environment, which is closely related to poor glycemic control, may cause further loss of taste function, which in turn leads to overeating. Therefore, the present study was designed to examine the close relationship between dysgeusia, eating behavior, oral environment, and glycemic control in diabetes.

SUBJECTS AND METHOD

Study design and subjects

This cross-sectional study was approved by the ethics committee of the Tokushima University Hospital (approval no : 4066).

Informed consent was obtained from 82 outpatients with diabetes in the Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism (Tokushima University Hospital) between November 2021 and May 2022. Among the 82 subjects, seven were excluded from the study, and the remaining 75 subjects were included for data analysis. In addition periodontal comprehensive examination was performed in 69 subjects, excluding subjects with edentulous jaw and those who refused the examination (Figure 1). We collected clinical information (body mass index [BMI], diabetes duration, HbA1c, and estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR]) from medical records in the hospital. Twenty-two healthy individuals were recruited as the control group (mean age : 54.4 ± 17.3 years, 14 males, 8 females).

Gustatory test

Gustatory function was assessed using the whole-mouth method. We prepared umami solutions (0.048%, 0.095%, 0.191%, 0.382%, and 0.763% L[+]-glutamic acid monosodium salt monohydrate, Nacalai Tesque Inc. Kyoto Japan), sucrose solutions (0.156%, 0.313%, 0.625%, 1.25%, and 2.50% sucrose, Hayashi Pure Chemical, Ind., Ltd., Osaka Japan), and salt solutions (0.0391%, 0.0781%, 0.156%, 0.313%, and 0.625% sodium chloride, Hayashi Pure Chemical, Ind., Ltd.), based on a previous report (22). Briefly, 1 ml of each taste solution was applied to the tongue until taste recognition. The participants chose one of the following seven possible answers : sweet, salty, umami, sour, bitter, unidentifiable, or no taste. To avoid accidental correct responses, the test was repeated until two consecutive correct answers were recorded, and the first concentration provided was set as the cognitive threshold. The subjects were asked to avoid eating and drinking anything except water two hours before the test. For sweet and salt, the cutoff value for low taste sensitivity was set based on a previous study (23). Since there was no cutoff value defined for umami taste, the median cognitive threshold was used as the cutoff value, and those exceeding the median were defined as the low umami sensitivity group.

Assessment of xerostomia

Xerostomia (dry mouth) was assessed using an oral moisture-checking device (Mucus®, Life Co., Saitama, Japan) based on a previous study (24, 25) ; the device can measure the amount of moisture in the oral cavity. The cover was attached to the measuring section at the tip of the device and pressed vertically 10 mm from the tip of the tongue. We repeated the measurement for three consecutive times, used the median as a representative value (24), and defined a score ≤ 27.9 as "dry mouth".

Periodontal comprehensive examination

Dentists specializing in periodontal disease assessed number of teeth, bleeding on probing (BOP), plaque control record (PCR), pocket probing depth (PPD) and periodontal inflamed surface area (PISA). The cut-off value for PCR was > 20%, as reported by Mameno *et al.* (26). We measured six points of the teeth to assess PPD and calculated the percentage of teeth with PPD ≥ 4 mm to indicate moderate periodontitis and 6 mm to indicate severe periodontitis. The severity of periodontitis was determined according to a guideline (27) and a previous report (28). However, we did not measure clinical attachment loss due to the lack of data in this study. PISA, which quantifies the amount of inflamed periodontal tissue, was calculated using BOP and PPD (29), and it has been reported to be associated with C-reactive protein (30) and HbA1c levels in subjects with type 2 diabetes (31).

Analysis of taste receptor gene expression level

We measured the mRNA expression of taste receptor type 1 member 1 [T1R1] and taste receptor type 1 member 3 [T1R3], which were subunits of umami taste receptor (17), using the lingual foliate papillae of subjects with diabetes (19). The lingual foliate papillae of the subjects were scraped from a screw cap and stored in 2 ml serum tubes (Sumitomo Bakalite, Tokyo, Japan) containing RNA later® (Ambion, Austin TX, USA) at -80℃ as a sample. Total RNA was extracted from samples using the RNA Queous® Kit (Ambion), and cDNA was amplified using the CellAmp® Whole Transcriptome Amplification Kit (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Real-time PCR was performed using SYBR Green and StepOnePlus real time PCR system (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) under the following conditions : initial PCR activation, 95℃ for 20 s, followed by 40 cycles at 95 ℃ for 3 s and 60 ℃ for 30 s PCR, ending with a melt curve stage at 95℃ for 15 s, 60℃ for 1 min, and 95℃ for 15 s. The relative amounts of mRNA were normalized to GAPDH mRNA levels within each


Figure 1. Inclusion criteria of the study participants

sample. The expression of mRNA was quantified using the ΔΔCT method, which is shown relative to the median expression in 22 healthy participants. The primer used is shown in Supplemental Table 1. We divided the subjects into high and low taste receptor gene expression groups according to the median relative ratio of gene expression levels.

Food intake questionnaire

Food group intake in the previous month was assessed using a food frequency questionnaire based on food groups (FFQg) Ver.6.0 (Kenpakusha Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). This questionnaire has been previously described in detail (32). Food intake trends were divided into four groups : Group 1 included milk, dairy products, and eggs ; Group 2 included fish, meats, and beans or bean products ; Group 3 included vegetables, potatoes, and fruits ; and Group 4 included grain, sugar, oil, or other luxury grocery items (33). Food intake was adjusted by density and amount (g) per 1000 kcal (34).

Eating behavior and umami taste preference questionnaire

To examine eating behavior and taste preference, we used a questionnaire ; eating behavior was answered by yes/no choice or question to fill in the frequency and tastes preference was assessed by 5-Point Liker Scale ranging from "like very much (1) to "dislike" (5), and "like very much" or "like" were categorized into the strong preference group.

Statistical analysis

Sample size was estimated to be 70 based on a preliminary study ; however, 80 participants were selected to account for ineligible cases. The relative ratios for taste receptor gene expression in subjects with diabetes with and without taste disorder were used. The mean difference and standard deviation of the two groups were 0.13 and 0.19, respectively, with power set to 80% and $\alpha = 0.05$.

All statistical analyses were performed using JMP® 13 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Data are presented as median (interquartile range 25%, 75%) or number (%). In continuous data, we used Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the Steel-Dwass test for multi-group and Wilcoxon rank-sum test for paired-group. In categorical data, we used Fisher' s exact test or Chi-square test. Multiple logistic regression, adjusted for covariates (age and diabetes type), was used to identify factors associated with low taste sensitivity and high expression of taste receptor genes. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. In the multiple logistic regression, the median imputation method (35) was used to account for missing data (duration of diabetes[n=2], eGFR [n=3], HbA1c [n=1], and dry mouth [n=1]).

RESULTS

Characteristics of the subjects

Eighty-two subjects were enrolled in this study. After excluding seven subjects, the data of 75 subjects were finally analyzed (Figure 1). Of the 75 subjects with diabetes, 14 subjects had type 1 diabetes, 56 had type 2 diabetes, and 5 had other types (steroid diabetes, mitochondrial diabetes, or pancreatectomy). As shown in Table 1, the overall median age was 66.0 years, and there was no significant difference in age between the types of

Table 1. Characteristics of subjects with diabetes

	All (n=75)	Type1 (n=14)	Type2 (n=56)	Others (n=5)	P-value
Age (years)	66.0 (52.0 - 74.0)	53.5 (48.8 - 67.0)	69.0 (56.5 - 74.0)	66.0 (55.0 - 79.5)	0.079
Age≥65 years, n (%)	40 (53.3)	4 (28.6)	33 (58.9)	3 (60.0)	
Sex (Male), n (%)	38 (50.7)	5 (35.7)	31 (55.4)	2 (40.0)	
BMI (kg/m^2)	25.6 (23.1 - 31.2)	23.4 (22.1 - 26.1)^a	26.7 (23.9 - 32.3)^b	21.6 (18.8 - 24.0)^a	0.002
BMI≥30kg/m^2 , n (%)	21 (28.0)	0 (0)	21 (37.5)	0 (0)	
Smoking statue, n (%)					
Current	7 (9.3)	4 (28.6)	3 (5.4)	0 (0)	
Former	28 (37.3)	4 (28.6)	21 (37.5)	3 (60.0)	
Never	40 (53.3)	6 (42.9)	32 (57.1)	2 (40.0)	
Duration of diabetes (years)	15.0 (9.0 - 22.5)	12.5 (9.0 - 17.5)	16.5 (8.8 - 23.0)	22.0 (10.0 - 23.0)	0.657
Missing, n (%)	2 (2.7)		2 (3.6)		
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m^2)	67.0 (53.0 - 81.0)	84.5 (69.0 - 90.0)^a	61.5 (47.8 - 77.3)^b	64.5 (50.8 - 77.5)	0.006
Missing, n (%)	3 (4.0)		2 (3.6)	1 (20.0)	
eGFR<60 ml/min/1.73m^2 , n (%)	29 (40.3)	1 (7.1)	26 (48.1)	2 (50.0)	
HbA1c (%)	7.3 (6.6 - 8.2)	7.7 (7.2 - 8.2)	7.1 (6.5 - 8.4)	7.4 (7.2 - 8.1)	0.264
Missing, n (%)	1 (1.3)		1 (1.3)		
Dry mouth, n (%)	49 (66.2)	8 (57.1)	37 (67.3)	4 (80.0)	
Missing, n (%)	1 (1.3)		1 (1.3)		
Periodontal comprehensive examination	**All (n=69)**	**Type1 (n=14)**	**Type2 (n=50)**	**Others (n=5)**	**P-value**
BOP (%)	13.9 (7.0 - 33.2)	9.1 (1.9 - 35.4)	15.7 (8.2 - 33.3)	10.2 (3.8 - 28.3)	0.319
PCR (%)	47.6 (26.9 - 63.4)	31.1 (13.0 - 54.4)	55.1 (28.8 - 68.8)	36.1 (27.9 - 62.2)	0.093
PCR > 20 %, n (%)	56 (81.2)	10 (71.4)	41 (82.0)	5 (100)	
PISA (mm^2)	192.9 (65.4 - 464.9)	182.0 (25.0 - 1296.6)	196.6 (115.6 - 466.4)	152.1 (49.3 - 315.3)	0.616
Proportion of teeth/mouth PPD ≥4mm (%)	59.1 (33.3 - 87.3)	48.2 (30.0 - 100)	63.0 (35.6 - 87.6)	35.3 (5.7 - 79.9)	0.427
Proportion of teeth/mouth PPD ≥6mm (%)	7.4 (0 - 25.0)	4.3 (0 - 89.2)	8.2 (0 - 26.0)	0 (0 - 18.8)	0.590
Prevalence PPD ≥6mm (%), n (%)	47 (68.1)	10 (71.4)	35 (70.0)	2 (40.0)	

Data are expressed as median (25 - 75%) or number (%). BMI, Body Mass Index; eGFR, estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; BOP, Bleeding on probing; PCR, Plaque Control Record; PISA, Periodontal Inflamed Surface Area; PPD, Probing Pocket Depth
P-value were derived using Kruskal-Wallis test
Different alphabet letters show statistical difference (Steel-Dwass test)

diabetes. The median BMI in subjects with type 2 diabetes was 26.7 kg/m², and approximately 40% of the subjects had BMI ≥ 30 kg/m², which is categorized as obesity. In addition, approximately 40% of subjects had eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m², and the overall median HbA1c level was 7.3%. Regarding smoking habits, 37.3% of the respondents had a history of smoking, but only 9.3% of them were current smokers. The characteristics of healthy participants were shown in supplemental Table 2.

Taste sensitivity assessment

We assessed taste sensitivity using the whole-mouth test. As shown in Figure 2, low umami taste sensitivity was detected in 45.3% of subjects (n=34) and 36.4% of the healthy participants. In addition, low sweet taste sensitivity was detected in 28.0% of subjects with diabetes and 27.3% of healthy participants. Regarding salt taste, 18.7% and 9.1% of subjects with diabetes and healthy participants, respectively, had low sensitivity. Only five subjects with diabetes (6.7%) had low sensitivity to all three tastes. Among subjects with low taste sensitivity, the proportion of those with low umami taste sensitivity was the highest, and the most observed relationship was that of low umami taste sensitivity with food intake, eating behavior, and oral environment. Therefore, these subjects were then divided into two groups : low umami taste sensitivity (≥ 0.763%, L-U group) and normal umami taste sensitivity (< 0.763%, N-U group). As shown in Table 2, subjects in the L-U group were significantly older, had a longer duration of diabetes, and had a lower eGFR, compared with those in the N-U group.

Relationship between umami taste sensitivity and each survey item

We compared food intake, eating behavior, umami taste preference, and oral environment (dry mouth and periodontal comprehensive examination) between the L-U and N-U groups.


Figure 2. Percentage of subjects with diabetes and healthy participants with low umami, sweet and salt taste sensitivities healthy participants, n = 22 ; subjects with diabetes, n = 75

As shown in Table 3, the L-U group consumed more grain/sugar/oil/other luxury grocery items, had a higher frequency of snack intake, and preferred foods with strong umami (dashi) taste. There were no differences between the groups in umami taste sensitivity and dry mouth and periodontal comprehensive examination using BOP, PCR, PISA and PPD data. We also measured the expression of lingual taste receptor genes. As shown in Figure 3, lingual T1R3 gene expression in the L-U group was significantly higher than that in the N-U group. However, there was no association between T1R1 gene expression and umami taste sensitivity.

Table 2. Comparison of characteristics between normal and low umami taste sensitivity groups

		Umami sensitivity		
		Normal (N - U group) (n=41)	Low (L - U group) (n=34)	P - value
Age (years)		62.0 (49.5 - 72.0)	70.0 (57.5 - 77.0)	0.048
Sex (Male), n (%)		19 (46.3)	19 (55.9)	0.411
BMI (kg/m²)		26.0 (23.6 - 30.0)	24.6 (22.8 - 31.3)	0.580
Smoking statue, n (%)				
	Current	3 (7.3)	4 (11.8)	
	Former	16 (39.0)	12 (35.3)	
	Never	22 (53.7)	18 (52.9)	
Diabetes Type, n (%)				
	Type 1	10 (24.4)	4 (11.8)	
	Type 2	30 (73.2)	26 (76.5)	
	Others	1 (2.4)	4 (11.8)	
Duration of diabetes (years)		12.5 (6.3 - 20.0)	19.0 (11.0 - 26.5)	0.019
Missing, n (%)		1 (2.4)	1 (2.9)	
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m²)		74.0 (58.8 - 85.8)	55.5 (44.0 - 71.8)	0.003
Missing, n (%)		1 (2.4)	2 (5.9)	
HbA1c (%)		7.5 (6.6 - 8.4)	7.2 (6.6 - 8.0)	0.260
Missing, n (%)			1 (2.4)	

Data are expressed as median (25 - 75%) or number (%). BMI, Body Mass Index; eGFR, estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate
P-value were derived using Chi-square test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test

Table 3.   Comparison of characteristics between normal and low umami taste sensitivity groups

	Umami sensitivity		
	Normal (N - U group) (n=41)	Low (L - U group) (n=34)	P - value
Food intake			
Energy (kcal)	1660 (1495 - 1944)	1714 (1429 - 2233)	0.584
1 group; Milk & dairy products/eggs (g/1000kcal)	103 (63 - 165)	74 (48 - 116)	0.128
2 group; Fish/meats/beans & bean products (g/1000kcal)	117 (64 - 138)	96 (81 - 134)	0.873
3 group; Vegetable/potatoes/fruits (g/1000kcal)	180 (125 - 274)	211 (122 - 285)	0.803
4 group; Grain/sugar/oil/other luxury grocery item (g/1000kcal)	291 (218 - 345)	310 (272 - 399)	0.043
Eating behavior			
Eating frequency of snack (times/day)	1.0 (0.5 - 1.0)	1.0 (1.0 - 2.0)	0.046
Consumption frequency of instant, frozen foods (times/week)	1.0 (0 - 3.0)	1.0 (0 - 2.0)	0.588
Eating frequency supper two hours before bedtime (times/week)	0 (0 - 2.0)	0 (0 - 1.0)	0.433
Eating speed (minutes)	18 (10 - 30)	15 (10 - 30)	0.734
Eating until full (yes), n (%)	17 (41.5)	11 (32.4)	0.417
Umami preference, n (%)	31 (75.6)	17 (50.0)	0.021
Dry mouth, n (%)	26 (65.0)	23 (67.6)	0.810
Missing, n (%)	1 (2.4)		

	Umami sensitivity		
Periodontal comprehensive examination	Normal (N - U group) (n=40)	Low (L - U group) (n=29)	P - value
BOP (%)	17.2 (7.2 - 35.7)	11.3 (5.4 - 26.7)	0.269
PCR (%)	37.4 (23.0 - 62.9)	48.2 (32.5 - 66.2)	0.271
PCR>20 %, n (%)	31 (77.5)	25 (86.2)	0.535
PISA (mm^2)	237.0 (77.7 - 516.0)	160.4 (50.9 - 402.5)	0.375
Proportion of teeth/mouth PPD ≥4mm (%)	62.6 (42.1 - 93.7)	51.9 (23.0 - 85.7)	0.254
Proportion of teeth/mouth PPD ≥6mm (%)	8.9 (0 - 36.8)	7.4 (0 - 15.5)	0.508
Prevalence PPD ≥6mm (%), n (%)	27 (67.5)	20 (69.0)	0.897

Data are expressed as median (25 - 75%) or number (%). BOP, Bleeding on probing; PCR, Plaque Control Record; PISA, Periodontal Inflamed Surface Area; PPD, Probing Pocket Depth

P-value were derived using Chi-square test, Fisher's exact test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test

Figure 3.   Association between umami taste sensitivity and taste receptor gene expression
Expression levels of (A) T1R1 and (B) T1R3 in the normal umami sensitivity (N-U) and low umami sensitivity (L-U) groups. The relative expression level compared with that of healthy participants was evaluated as 1.
*P < 0.05 Wilcoxon rank-sum test ; N-U group, n = 41 ; L-U group, n = 34

Relationship between umami taste receptor gene expression and oral environment

As shown in Table 3, we did not find any difference in oral environment assessment items between the L-U and N-U groups ; however, when we analyzed the relationship between PCR and T1R3 gene expression, subjects with diabetes with PCR > 20% showed significantly higher T1R3 gene expression than those with PCR ≤ 20% (Figure 4). No association was found between dry mouth, prevalence of severe periodontitis, PISA, and umami taste receptor gene expression (data not shown).

Multivariate analysis for low umami taste sensitivity and high T1R3 gene expression

To determine the relationship between umami sensitivity and T1R3 expression, logistic regression analysis was performed and adjusted for covariates (age and type of diabetes). As shown in Table 4, low eGFR, high intake of grain/sugar/oil/other luxury grocery items, preference for foods with strong umami (dashi), and high T1R3 expression were related to low umami taste sensitivity. Moreover, subjects with PCR > 20% showed high T1R3 gene expression (Table 5).

Figure 4. Association between taste receptor gene expression and PCR
Expression levels of (A) T1R1 and (B) T1R3 in PCR ≤ 20% and PCR > 20% groups. The relative expression level compared with that of healthy participants was evaluated as 1.
*P < 0.05 Wilcoxon rank-sum test ; PCR ≤ 20%, n = 13, PCR > 20%, n = 56

Table 4.   Multivariate analysis for low umami taste sensitivity

		Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4	
		OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Age		1.02 (0.98 - 1.06)	0.322	1.04 (1.00 - 1.08)	0.082	1.02 (0.98 - 1.06)	0.263	1.05 (1.01 - 1.09)	0.021
Diabetes Type									
	Type 2	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
	Type 1	0.96 (0.23 - 4.02)	0.960	0.47 (0.12 - 1.93)	0.297	0.42 (0.10 - 1.74)	0.229	0.69 (0.17 - 2.69)	0.589
	Others	5.28 (0.53 - 53.07)	0.158	3.49 (0.34 - 36.26)	0.295	5.84 (0.57 - 59.43)	0.136	3.25 (0.32 - 32.96)	0.318
eGFR		0.97 (0.94 - 1.00)	0.033						
Grain/sugar/oil/other luxury grocery item (g/1000kcal)				1.01 (1.00 - 1.01)	0.036				
Umami (dashi) preference (strong preferences)						0.29 (0.10 - 0.87)	0.027		
T1R3 gene expression (high)								3.14 (1.07 - 9.20)	0.037

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidential interval; eGFR, estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; T1R3, Taste receptor type 1 member 3

Table 5.   Multivariate analysis for high T1R3 gene expression

		OR (95% CI)	P-value
Age		0.95 (0.91 - 0.99)	0.025
Diabetes Type			
	Type 2	Reference	
	Type 1	0.64 (0.16 - 2.67)	0.544
	Others	3.43 (0.33 - 36.11)	0.304
PCR (>20 %)		17.75 (2.06 - 153.10)	0.009

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidential interval; PCR, Plaque Control Record

DISCUSSION

We aimed to clarify the relationship between umami taste sensitivity, eating behavior, food intake, and oral environment in subjects with diabetes in present study. As shown described in Figure 5, diabetic pathology, including high blood sugar, is closely related to the oral environment, which is also a critical factor affecting taste sensitivity. In other words, the deterioration of the oral environment in subjects with diabetes due to poor glycemic control and overeating leads to taste disorders, which in turn leads to poor glycemic control and overeating, suggesting a negative association between taste disorders and poor glycemic control. In addition, we examined the involvement of oral hygiene in the mechanism of taste receptor alteration.

Decreased eGFR was associated with low umami taste sensitivity in this study. Taste sense is altered in subjects with CKD, and many of them present with dysgeusia (7). A positive correlation between eGFR and the fungiform papillae area has been previously reported (36). Serum zinc levels decreases, while urinary zinc excretion increases in CKD patients (37). In addition, the quantity of saliva decreases in patients with CKD (38). The mechanism underlying the association between umami taste sensitivity and eGFR in subjects with diabetes is unknown ; however, a close relationship between umami taste and saliva has been suggested (39), and it is possible that decrease in eGFR reduces the area of the tongue papillae where receptors are located, decreasing salivary flow and causing low umami taste sensitivity. Although oral dryness is a serious condition in subjects with diabetes (13), we could not determine the association between oral dryness and umami taste sensitivity in the present study. This might be because the device used in this study is a tool for measuring mucosal humidity retention (24), and salivary flow rate had to be measured directly.

It is interesting that subjects in the L-U group consumed more grain/sugar/oil/other luxury grocery items, that is foods that provided a source of energy, and snacked more frequently in this study. It has been reported that MSG in soups reduces energy intake from high-fat and sweet snacks (40). In addition, MSG stimulates the secretion of the appetite suppressant hormone Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) (41). It is possible that low umami taste sensitivity may cause a deficiency in the secretion of appetite-regulating hormones, leading to increased intake of energy-giving foods and increased snacking frequency.

Interestingly, subjects in the N-U group showed a strong preference for umami taste. This is consistent with a report that umami normal tasters preferred foods rich in umami, compared with umami hypo-tasters among Japanese female university students (42). Han *et al.* reported differences in the functional magnetic resonance imaging images for the assessment of MSG stimulation based on umami recognition ability. Umami "high tasters" had a greater activation of the cortical gustatory cortex in response to MSG stimulation, while umami low tasters showed a greater activation of memory-related brain regions, such as the hippocampus and thalamus, suggesting that the perception of umami taste was novel and unusual (43). Our study indicates that individuals with low umami taste sensitivity are not familiar with umami taste.

Regarding the relationship between umami taste sensitivity and taste receptor gene expression in this study, the L-U group showed significantly higher levels of T1R3 expression, compared with the N-U group. Currently, the detailed mechanism underlying T1R3 upregulation in subjects with diabetes with low umami sensitivity is unknown ; however, there are two possible mechanisms. First, the effect of overeating is due to reduced umami taste sensitivity. We previously suggested that overeating in subjects with type 2 diabetes may be responsible for the high levels of taste receptor gene expression (19). No association was found between umami taste sensitivity and energy intake in this study ; however, many of the participants had obesity, and individuals with obesity have been reported to underestimate their dietary intake (44). In addition, many subjects have received nutritional education over time and know the appropriate diet, so it is possible that they answered the appropriate diet for a diabetes, rather than the diet the patient actually ate. The second possibility is that receptor upregulation may occur due to a compensatory function aimed at maintaining normal umami taste sensitivity. The reason why no association was found for the umami taste receptor T1R1 is unknown ; however, T1R3 expression changed during chemoradiotherapy in patients with cancer, whereas T1R1 expression remained unchanged (10). This suggests that T1R3 expression may be particularly sensitive to the external environment.


Figure 5.   Association of low umami sensitivity with diabetes and the oral environment

Furthermore, subjects with poor oral hygiene and high PCR values showed higher T1R3 gene expression in this study. Poor oral hygiene may inhibit the transmission of taste substances, causing the upregulation of taste receptors to increase the sense of taste. It has also been suggested that plaques on the tongue may inhibit taste substances from accessing taste receptors (45).

We assessed several oral indicators in this study ; however, we did not find any association between periodontal disease and taste receptor gene expression or umami taste sensitivity. Because many subjects were undergoing dental treatment during the survey, the underlying factors affecting the subjects were not completely assessed. Since subjects with diabetes frequently have dental problems, medical doctors usually recommend dental treatment from their initial visit. To understand the relationship between oral status and taste sensation, it is necessary to recruit subjects who are not undergoing dental intervention. This observational study and our previous study show that, normalization of umami taste sensitivity or receptor expression will be helpful in improving dysgeusia in subjects with diabetes. It has been reported that the score indicating low umami taste identification ability significantly increases after training (43). In addition, improved oral hygiene may normalize taste receptor expression and contribute to umami taste sensitivity, and being sensitive to umami taste may normalize contribute to the normalization of receptor expression, dietary therapy, and prevention of complications in subjects with diabetes.

This study has several limitations. First, it was a cross-sectional study ; therefore, the mechanisms underlying reduced umami taste sensitivity and causal relationships could not be clarified. Second, the sample size was small ; therefore, diabetes types or subtypes were not analyzed. A similar trend was observed when only type 2 diabetes was examined, however, it is not clear whether these results are consistent for type 1 diabetes and other types of diabetes. Third, because this study included subjects with diabetes, it was impossible to exclude subjects using drugs that cause dysgeusia as a side effect. Finally, the subjects were at different stages of dental intervention, therefore, despite the investigation of the oral environment and taste and diabetes, it was not possible to determine the effect of the oral environment on taste sensitivity.

In conclusion, our results showed that reduced umami taste sensitivity in subjects with diabetes was associated with low eGFR, food intake, and high umami taste receptor gene expression, and changes in receptor expression were associated with oral hygiene status. Clarification of the mechanisms underlying reduced umami sensitivity and taste receptor changes, focusing on oral hygiene, may expand the possibilities of umami taste sensitivity in diabetic pathology.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

none.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the nurses and dieticians at the Tokushima University Hospital for their cooperation.

REFERENCE

1. Nin T, Tanaka M, Nishida K, Yamamoto J, Takaki M : A clinical survey on patients with taste disorders in Japan : A comparative study. Auris Nasus Larynx 49 : 797-804, 2022

2. Matsugasumi M, Hashimoto Y, Okada H, Tanaka M, Kimura T, Kitagawa N, Tanaka Y, Fukuda Y, Sakai R, Yamazaki M, Oda Y, Nakamura N, Fukui M : The Association Between Taste Impairment and Serum Zinc Concentration in Adult Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. Can J Diabetes 42 : 520-524, 2018

3. Schiffman SS : Influence of medications on taste and smell. World J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg 4 : 84-91, 2018

4. Bergdahl M, Bergdahl J : Perceived taste disturbance in adults : Prevalence and association with oral and psychological factors and medication. Clin Oral Investig 6 : 145-149, 2002

5. Gondivkar SM, Indurkar A, Degwekar S, Bhowate R : Evaluation of gustatory function in patients with diabetes mellitus type 2. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 108 : 876-880, 2009

6. Yazla S, Özmen S, Kıyıcı S, Yıldız D, Haksever M, Gencay S : Evaluation of olfaction and taste function in type 2 diabetic patients with and without peripheral neuropathy. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 34 : e2973, 2018

7. Kim TH, Kim YH, Bae NY, Kang SS, Lee JB, Kim SB : Salty taste thresholds and preference in patients with chronic kidney disease according to disease stage : A cross-sectional study. Nutr Diet 75 : 59-64, 2018

8. Piovesana P de M, Sampaio K de L, Gallani MCBJ : Association between Taste Sensitivity and Self-Reported and Objective Measures of Salt Intake among Hypertensive and Normotensive Individuals. ISRN Nutr 2013 : 301213, 2012

9. Sakata K, Hato H, Sato J, Iori T, Ohga N, Watanabe H, Yamazaki Y, Kitagawa Y : Ethyl loflazepate as a treatment for patients with idiopathic and psychogenic taste disorder. Biopsychosoc Med 16 : 16, 2022

10. Tsutsumi R, Goda M, Fujimoto C, Kanno K, Nobe M, Kitamura Y, Abe K, Kawai M, Matsumoto H, Sakai T, Takeda N : Effects of chemotherapy on gene expression of lingual taste receptors in patients with head and neck cancer. Laryngoscope 126 : E103-E109, 2016

11. Barragán R, Coltell O, Portolés O, Asensio EM, Sorlí J V, Ortega-Azorín C, González JI, Sáiz C, Fernández-Carrión R, Ordovas JM, Corella D : Bitter, Sweet, Salty, Sour and Umami Taste Perception Decreases with Age : Sex-Specific Analysis, Modulation by Genetic Variants and Taste-Preference Associations in 18 to 80 Year-Old Subjects. Nutrients 10 : 1539, 2018

12. Thomas DC, Chablani D, Parekh S, Pichammal RC, Shanmugasundaram K, Pitchumani PK : Dysgeusia : A review in the context of COVID-19. J Am Dent Assoc 153 : 251-264, 2022

13. Verhulst MJL, Loos BG, Gerdes VEA, Teeuw WJ : Evaluating all potential oral complications of diabetes mellitus. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 10 : 56, 2019

14. Demmer RT, Desvarieux M, Holtfreter B, Jacobs DR, Wallaschofski H, Nauck M, Völzke H, Kocher T : Periodontal Status and A1C Change : Longitudinal results from the Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP). Diabetes Care 33 : 1037-1043, 2010

15. Preshaw PM, Alba AL, Herrera D, Jepsen S, Konstantinidis A, Makrilakis K, Taylor R : Periodontitis and diabetes : a two-way relationship. Diabetologia 55 : 21-31, 2012

16. Aemaimanan P, Amimanan P, Taweechaisupapong S : Quantification of key periodontal pathogens in insulin-dependent type 2 diabetic and non-diabetic patients with generalized chronic periodontitis. Anaerobe 22 : 64-68, 2013

17. Chandrashekar J, Hoon MA, Ryba NJP, Zuker CS : The receptors and cells for mammalian taste. Nature 444 : 288-294, 2006

18. Shono H, Tsutsumi R, Beppu K, Matsushima R, Watanabe S, Fujimoto C, Kanamura R, Ohnishi H, Kondo E, Azuma T, Sato G, Kawai M, Matsumoto H, Kitamura Y, Sakaue H, Takeda N : Dietary Supplementation with Monosodium Glutamate Suppresses Chemotherapy-Induced Downregulation of the T1R3 Taste Receptor Subunit in Head and Neck Cancer Patients. Nutrients 13 : 2921, 2021

19. Beppu K, Kawakami A, Mishima Y, Tsutsumi R, Kuroda M, Mori H, Kuroda A, Matsuhisa M, Sakaue H : Taste receptor gene expression is associated with decreased eGFR in patients with diabetes. J Med Invest 69 : 120-126, 2022

20. Mizuta E, Kinugasa Y, Kato M, Hamada T, Yamamoto K, Hisatome I : Umami taste disorder is a novel predictor of obesity. Hypertens Res 44 : 595-597, 2021

21. Pepino MY, Finkbeiner S, Beauchamp GK : Obese Women Have Lower Monosodium Glutamate Taste Sensitivity and Prefer Higher Concentrations Than Do Normal- weight Women. Obesity 18 : 959-965, 2010

22. Yamauchi Y, Endo S, Sakai F, Yoshimura I : Whole mouth gustatory test (Part 1)--basic considerations and principal component analysis. Nihon Jibiinkoka Gakkai Kaiho 98 : 119-129, 1995 (In Japanese).

23. Yamazaki Y, Jinbu Y, Inoue C, Wakabayashi N, Noguchi T, Mori Y : Analizing Taste Thresholds of Patients with Hypogeusia. J Jpn Oral Medicine, 23 : 63-68, 2017 (In Japanese)

24. Fukushima Y, Yoda T, Araki R, Sakai T, Toya S, Ito K, Funayama S, Enoki Y, Sato T : Evaluation of oral wetness using an improved moisture-checking device for the diagnosis of dry mouth. Oral Sci Int 14 : 33-36, 2017

25. Nishi Y, Nomura T, Murakami M, Kawai Y, Nishimura M, Kondo H, Ito Y, Tsuboi A, Hong G, Kimoto S, Gunji A, Suzuki A, Ohwada G, Minakuchi S, Sato Y, Suzuki T, Kimoto K, Hoshi N, Saita M, Yoneyama Y, Sato Y, Morokuma M, Okazaki J, Maeda T, Nakai K, Ichikawa T, Nagao K, Fujimoto K, Murata H, Kurogi T, Yoshida K, Hosoi T, Hamada T : Effect of denture adhesives on oral moisture : A multicenter randomized controlled trial. J Prosthodont Res 64 : 281-288, 2020

26. Mameno T, Wada M, Otsuki M, Okuno I, Ozeki K, Tahara A, Ikebe K : Risk indicators for marginal bone resorption around implants in function for at least 4 years : A retrospective longitudinal study. J Periodontol 91 : 37-45, 2020

27. The Japanese Society of Periodontology : JSP Clinical Practice Guideline for the Periodontal Treatment, 2022. 2022

28. Hada M, Azuma T, Irie K, Yonenaga T, Watanabe K, Deguchi F, Obora A, Kojima T, Tomofuji T : Periodontal Condition Is Correlated with Deep and Subcortical White Matter Hyperintensity Lesions in Japanese Adults. Int J Environ Res Public Health 17 : 1964, 2020

29. Nesse W, Abbas F, van der Ploeg I, Spijkervet FKL, Dijkstra PU, Vissink A : Periodontal inflamed surface area : quantifying inflammatory burden. J Clin Periodontol 35 : 668-673, 2008

30. Miki K, Kitamura M, Hatta K, Kamide K, Gondo Y, Yamashita M, Takedachi M, Nozaki T, Fujihara C, Kashiwagi Y, Iwayama T, Takahashi T, Sato H, Murotani Y, Kabayama M, Takeya Y, Takami Y, Akasaka H, Yamamoto K, Sugimoto K, Ishizaki T, Masui Y, Rakugi H, Ikebe K, Murakami S : Periodontal inflamed surface area is associated with hs-CRP in septuagenarian Japanese adults in cross-sectional findings from the SONIC study. Scientific Reports 11 : 14436, 2021

31. Nesse W, Linde A, Abbas F, Spijkervet FKL, Dijkstra PU,

de Brabander EC, Gerstenbluth I, Vissink A : Dose-response relationship between periodontal inflamed surface area and HbA1c in type 2 Diabetics. J Clin Periodontol 36 : 295-300, 2009

32. Hayashi T, Fukuda Y, Sato R, Ogasawara M, Tamura K : Association of physical prefrailty with prevalence of inadequate nutrient intake in community-dwelling Japanese elderly women : A cross-sectional study. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 30 : 263-274, 2021

33. Kagawa A : Kagawa style of eating -The "Four-Food-Group-Point-Method"-. J Kagawa Nutr 14 : 5-12, 1983 (in Japanese)

34. Willett WC, Howe GR, Kushi LH : Adjustment for total energy intake in epidemiologic studies. Am J Clin Nutr 65 : 1220S-1228S, 1997

35. Berkelmans GFN, Read SH, Gudbjörnsdottir S, Wild SH, Franzen S, van der Graaf Y, Eliasson B, Visseren FLJ, Paynter NP, Dorresteijn JAN : Population median imputation was noninferior to complex approaches for imputing missing values in cardiovascular prediction models in clinical practice. J Clin Epidemiol 145 : 70-80, 2022

36. Correa M, Laing DG, Hutchinson I, Jinks AL, Armstrong JE, Kainer G : Reduced taste function and taste papillae density in children with chronic kidney disease. Pediatr Nephrol 30 : 2003-2010, 2015

37. Damianaki K, Lourenco JM, Braconnier P, Ghobril J-P, Devuyst O, Burnier M, Lenglet S, Augsburger M, Thomas A, Pruijm M : Renal handling of zinc in chronic kidney disease patients and the role of circulating zinc levels in renal function decline. Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation 35 : 1163-1170, 2020

38. Oyetola EO, Owotade FJ, Agbelusi GA, Fatusi O, Sanusi A, Adesina OM : Salivary Flow Rates of Nigerian Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease : A Case-control Study. J Contemp Dent Pract 16 : 264-269, 2015

39. Sasano T, Satoh-Kuriwada S, Shoji N, Iikubo M, Kawai M, Uneyama H, Sakamoto M : The important role of umami taste in oral and overall health. Flavour 4 : 1-5, 2015

40. Imada T, Hao SS, Torii K, Kimura E : Supplementing chicken broth with monosodium glutamate reduces energy intake from high fat and sweet snacks in middle-aged healthy women. Appetite 79 : 158-165, 2014

41. Hosaka H, Kusano M, Zai H, Kawada A, Kuribayashi S, Shimoyama Y, Nagoshi A, Maeda M, Kawamura O, Mori M : Monosodium glutamate stimulates secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 and reduces postprandial glucose after a lipid-containing meal. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 36 : 895-903, 2012

42. Kubota M, Toda C, Nagai-Moriyama A : Relationship between umami taste acuity with sweet or bitter taste acuity and food selection in Japanese women university students. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 27 : 107-112, 2018

43. Han P, Mohebbi M, Unrath M, Hummel C, Hummel T : Different Neural Processing of Umami and Salty Taste Determined by Umami Identification Ability Independent of Repeated Umami Exposure. Neuroscience 383 : 74-83, 2018

44. Prentice AM, Black AE, Coward WA, Davies HL, Goldberg GR, Murgatroyd PR, Ashford J, Sawyer M, Whitehead RG : High levels of energy expenditure in obese women. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 292 : 983-987, 1986

45. Madiloggovit J, Chotechuang N, Trachootham D : Impact of self-tongue brushing on taste perception in Thai older adults : A pilot study. Geriatr Nurs 37 : 128-136, 2016

Supplemental Table 1.   Primer sequence

	Forward primer sequence(5'→3')	Reverse primer sequence(5'→3')
T1R1	CATTCTGGCTGTCTGCAGGTG	CAGGGCCGTGGAGTTGTTTATC
T1R3	TTCCCCCAGTACGTGAAGAC	CAGAGAACGTCTGGTGGTGA
GAPDH	GTGGTCTCCTCTGACTTCAACA	GTTGCTGTAGCCAAATTCGTTGT

Supplemental Table 2.   Characteristics of healthy participants

		Total healthy participants (n=22)
Age (years)		57.5 (37.8 - 67.3)
Sex (Male), n (%)		14 (63.6)
BMI (kg/m^2)		23.0 (19.3 - 24.7)
Smoking statue, n (%)		
	Current	2 (9.1)
	Former	7 (31.8)
	Never	13 (59.1)
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)		125 (112 - 142)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)		78 (65 - 82)
Fasting plasma Glucose (mg/dL)		94 (90 - 101)

Data are expressed as median (25 - 75%) or number (%). BMI, Body Mass Index